

Alex Hayes

Feedback on coursework provided by Professor Paul Dowling

*Review of Grever, Pelzer & Haydn*

The critical review pays close attention to the research and evidences a good understanding of relevant methodological issues. The candidate does include some discussion of the weakness of the research in respect of its potential to generalise its results to a population. Unusually, in this particular case, the research does not seek to generalise in this way, so this particular criticism is somewhat redundant.

*Research Proposal*

The proposal exceeds the word limit by more than the 10% permissible.

The proposal includes critical review of appropriate research articles that evidences a good understanding of methodological issues as does the methodological discussion of the proposed research. The opening questions to staff and pupils should enable entry into discussion of the issues that are of interest to the researcher. The second questions to staff and pupils ask for analysis where a request for a more concrete report might prove to be more productive. The third question to staff is rather vague. The proposal generally is appropriately reflective.

*General*

Both pieces of work are well written and presented.